The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives news accounts and editorial interpretations of matters affecting labor concerning its industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and contains other information of benefit to the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 29, 1932, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 12, 1932.

WHOLE NO. 1896 — WILLIAM GREEN, President — WASHINGTON, D. C., TUESDAY, AUGUST 12, 1947 — GEORGE MEANY, Secretary-Treasurer — VOL. 37, NO. 32

LABOR'S GOAL! To Repeal Taft-Hartley Law

ILGWU to Sign 'No-Strike' Contracts If Employers Agree to Union Safeguards

New York City.—The AFL's International Ladies Garment Workers Union announced its willingness under the Taft-Hartley law to sign contracts containing "no-strike" clauses provided that employers meet certain conditions.

David Dubinsky, ILGWU president, advised local union officials to continue signing "no-strike" agreements where employers agreed to maintain the union shop and to resolve all disputes through arbitration.

He said the union intended to protect itself against damage suits by specifying in all agreements that arbitration machinery would be used to adjust all charges of violation of the agreement, instead of recourse to the courts.

The union will undertake, Mr. Dubinsky declared, to get its members back on the job within 24 hours if an illegal strike occurs. Where the members are defiant, the arbitrator will have the right to declare their jobs vacant. If he decides that the union is at fault or has been derelict in getting the workers to return, he may declare the contract terminated.

As a further measure of protection for itself against abuse of contract violation, the union plans to specify the individual local officers with responsibility to act for it, Mr. Dubinsky disclosed. He emphasized that the union's acceptance of no-strike provisions would be confined to cases in which it retained the union shop.

"If or right to the union shop is denied, then we cannot undertake responsibility for the continuity of production in the plant," the union president said.

On the basis of advice from the union's lawyers, he expressed certainty that the Taft-Hartley law would necessitate no changes in the existing form of union shop used by the ILGWU.

He said also he did not believe new elections would be necessary to prove majority support, since the union shop contracts, except where employers raised a question as to the union's continued strength.

Although other unions have announced that they will refuse to file certifications that none of their officers are Communists, Mr. Dubinsky said his organization would not hesitate to make such certifications wherever they might be necessary.

"I consider this provision of the Taft-Hartley law stupid and ineffective, as well as unconstitutional, but I am not going to be concerned on the fact we have cleaned out the Communists and we will leave it to others to challenge this feature of the law," the ILGWU leader said.

He advised the local unions to continue organizing industries. He said agreements of demands for unionization of unorganized plants notwithstanding the prohibition on handling of "struck work" under the Taft-Hartley law, either of these clauses came within the Taft-Hartley law's ban on secondary boycotts.

Gallup Poll Shows Voters Oppose Taft-Hartley Act

Princeton, N. J.—The weight of public opinion favors the repeal or revision of the Taft-Hartley law, according to a survey released by the American Institute of Public Opinion, more commonly known as the Gallup Poll.

The majority of voters, who expressed definite opinions on the subject, believe the law is one-sided in favor of employers, and will either have no effect or will increase the number of strikes.

This is eloquent evidence as to the effectiveness of the publicity campaign carried on by the American Federation of Labor to explain the act and to show the effects of its insidious and harmful provisions not only upon labor unions, but upon the general public.

All voters, who heard or read about the Taft-Hartley law were asked whether they thought it should be revised, repealed, or left unchanged. Analysis of replies to the question, "Do you favor or oppose repeal of the Taft-Hartley law?" showed that 22 percent wanted the law left unchanged. The remaining 22 percent expressed no opinion.

On the strike issue the question read: "As a result of this law, do you think there will be more strikes and lockouts, or will there be fewer?"

Replies showed that 32 percent of the voters believe the law will have no effect upon the number of strikes or will lead to a greater number. Only 26 percent thought the law would bring fewer strikes. A larger number, 42 percent, expressed no opinion on the subject.

A breakdown by political parties of replies to a general question concerning over-all approval or disapproval of the law shows the expected heavy Republican opinion in favor of the measure. Of the voters indicating preference, 53 percent were Republicans, while only 17 percent were Democrats. The 30 percent who disapproved the law were split as follows: 32 percent were Democrats, 29 percent were Republicans.

The results of the survey can rightfully be interpreted as a source of encouragement for labor. However, another point should be kept in mind since it serves as a pointer for labor's future course. The poll showed that only 6 out of 10 voters had ever heard about the Taft-Hartley law.

Labor must make the effort to reach the voters who are ignorant of the consequences of this legislation, or who expressed no opinion on the subject, and win them over to its side in the forthcoming battle for repeal of the law.

Green Dares GOP To Pick Taft; Predicts Party's 'Worst Defeat'

Truman Vetoes Social Security Bill Exempting Newsboys; OKs Tax Freeze

Washington, D. C.—President Truman wielded his "veto" pen on a bill passed by Congress providing exemption from social security coverage for certain vendors of newspapers.

Mr. Truman said there was "no justification" for amending the social security law to exempt such "loose fish" employes of publishers.

"It would establish a precedent for special exemption," the President declared. "We must not open our social security structure to piecemeal attack and to slow undermining."

Disapproving the measure which the AFL has consistently opposed prior to its passage, Mr. Truman said:

"It restricts and narrows coverage under our social security laws, while our objective should be to enlarge that coverage."

In another action involving the social security system, the President signed into law a bill freezing the old social security tax at 1 percent for employers and employes until January 1, 1950.

The new law provides that the tax will be increased to 1½ percent on January 1, 1950, and to 2 percent on January 1, 1952.

Under the old law, the 1 percent rate would have jumped to 2½ percent on January 1 and to 3 percent on January 1, 1949.

M'Gowan Flays Republicans; Predicts More Militant Labor

Chicago.—President Charles J. MacGowan of the Boilermakers assured the delegates to the union's special conference that the Taft-Hartley law, as vicious as it is, cannot be the labor movement's undoing.

On the contrary, he predicted, it will stimulate the labor organizations to greater effort and make the labor movement more militant than it has been in years.

MacGowan said of his disillusionment with the Republican party and flayed the record of the 80th Congress under Republican control. He said:

"There was a time when I was naive enough to believe that, with the presence of such great statesmen as La Follette, Norris, Borah, Johnson, Frazier and others, the Republican party would become a real and an excellent chance to succeed in that undertaking.

"But today, after a quarter of a century, I am prepared to assert that liberal thought and progressive action have not only been destroyed within that party, but it has aggressively become the chief instrumentality of every reactionary force in this country, to the extent that the leadership of the Republican party today is morally, intellectually and spiritually bankrupt."

The Boilermaker leader, however, that the answer to the situation is not the formation of a new political party, because this would dissipate liberal strength and entrench reactionaries in public office.

The real remedy, he insisted, is still adherence to "the well-tested policy of elect your friends and defeat your enemies." It should be done not merely with declarations, but with more hard work than ever before.

"We must determine that from now until 1948," he said, "every agency we can employ—among our own members, among farmers, small business men and right-thinking people generally—will be used to consolidate the real spirit of America and render a smashing verdict at the polls in 1948, so there can be no question as to what real mandate the American people have given their Congress."

Green Praises Greek Aid As Promoting Democracy

New York City.—AFL President William Green extolled the establishment of the American mission to Greece as an effort to promote economic, social, and political democracy.

Mr. Green's endorsement of the Truman program for aid to Greece and Turkey came in a letter to Clinton S. Golden, United Steelworkers, congratulating him on his appointment to represent American labor on the staff of the chief of the Greek mission.

"The American Federation of Labor has been constantly and consistently moved by a passion for the realization and establishment of world peace. It has been inspired by the belief that the most effective measure of support to President Truman in the realization of this objective,

"Our great labor movement has always been and will always be opposed to the establishment of any form of oppression, tyranny or totalitarian control of people anywhere in any section of the world. The Mission to Greece, of which you are to be a member, is designed in order to promote this form of economic, social and political philosophy. Realizing the extreme difficulties and serious problems facing the American Mission to Greece, we are pleased to note adequate consideration has been given to the appointment of labor representatives must play if an equitable solution to these problems is realized."

Mr. Golden's appointment was announced at a luncheon in honor of Speakers at the affair included Mr. Dubinsky and Matthew Woll, AFL vice-presidents, who represented the American Federation of Labor.

Brewster-Hughes Feud on Capitol Hill Reveals Basic Inequity In Tax System

Washington, D. C.—The circus conducted on Capitol Hill by Senators Brewster and Ferguson, et al. turned up an interesting sidelight.

The grilling given "Good Time" John Meyer concerning his alleged expenditure for the entertainment of certain persons has pointed up in a basic anomaly in our tax structure.

J. A. Livingston, writing for the Washington Post called it a "major loophole, a terrible irony in our tax system."

In brief, Meyer stands for the high living indulged in by business executives at the expense of their workers and stockholders and for general public.

This is the way it works out. Meyer, for example, leads a life lavishly sprinkled with entertainment, hotel suites, and the kind of trips we all dream of. Who pays the bill? Is Meyer's case, Hughes did. In the case of other businessmen, who have lavish expense accounts, their companies foot the bill as business expenditures. Thus, those who have an interest in the enterprise have to lose to the extent of the amount of the expenses run up by the spenders.

Is that all there is to it? No! Because these business expenditures are considered legitimate expenses, they are deductible from the gross profit on which the corporation pays income taxes. Q. Public takes the rap. Business pays corporate tax rates at the rate of 38 percent, while personal income taxes for the top brackets run as high as 88 percent. Thus, if these expenditures come out of the high salaries of business executives instead of from corporate funds, the tax yield would be greater for the U. S. Treasury. Since they do not, the taxes for all of the little people are that much higher.

Now, consider the business executive of a plain, ordinary individual. Take a war widow who has to pay income taxes after a child while she works to keep the home fires burning. Her income is comparatively low. It is not. It constitutes a living exactly as large as that which Hughes or Meyer's case, Hughes did. In the case of other businessmen, who have lavish expense accounts, their companies foot the bill as business expenditures. Thus, those who have an interest in the enterprise have to lose to the extent of the amount of the expenses run up by the spenders.

The Senate Committee which raised such a fuss about this matter would do time to ironing out this grave inequity in the tax structure and look to looking for a "goat" on which to pin a past sin.

NLRB Clarifies Rights of Labor Unions Refusing to File Data Required by Law

Washington, D. C.—Unions, if though they refuse to file the financial data and affidavits required by the Taft-Hartley law, may obtain a hearing before the National Labor Relations Board under certain circumstances.

NLRB officials stated that a union cannot be denied a hearing when it comes to intervene in a case before the board and can show that rights which it obtained from the law, such as a contract, are challenged by another union. This position has been upheld by the courts even where the contract in question was illegal.

A case in point involved the Consolidated Edison Company of New York, which was accused of an unfair labor practice on the ground that it gave assistance to a union in violation of the Wagner Act, by the union whose authority as legal bargaining agent was under attack and allowed to intervene in the election proceedings brought by the second union against the company.

The board's position has been refused to file financial data and non-Communist affidavits, therefore can file no petitions before the board, but will be allowed to defend the petition it has already won. The affidavits and financial data are remedial, dubious, however, until the board decisions have been signed by the nonfiling union a place on the ballot in the representative election.

If the nonfiling union won a place on the ballot, but failed to win and certify it as bargaining agent even if the union with which the company might gain a moral advantage and persuade the employer to continue present relationships and grant a new contract. There is nothing in the law which prevents a nonfiling union organizing a union which has not been certified under Section 9(f), (g), (h) (Labor Management Relations Act, 1947 of Public Law 101, 80th Congress, 1st session), require that the following information be filed and kept up to date annually with the Secretary of Labor. The National Labor Relations Board may investigate any representation question raised by a labor organization, or entertain any petition duly made by any person, or issue any complaint pursuant to an unfair labor practice charge filed by

Forms for Labor Union Registration Under Law Released by Labor Dept.

Washington, D. C.—The Labor Department announced the availability of labor organization registration forms and financial reports covering the organization and financial conditions of unions as required by the Taft-Hartley law.

The reason for the delay in the forms, which will be made available to all locals and national and international unions as soon as printed copies are received from the printers, Secretary Schwellenbach said:

"Sections 9 (f) and (g) of the Labor Management Relations Act, 1947 (Public Law 101, 80th Congress, 1st session), require that the following information be filed and kept up to date annually with the Secretary of Labor. The National Labor Relations Board may investigate any representation question raised by a labor organization, or entertain any petition duly made by any person, or issue any complaint pursuant to an unfair labor practice charge filed by a labor organization. This report must be filed not only by any labor organization desiring to raise any such question or to file such petition, but also by national or international or labor organization of which any such labor organization is an affiliate or constituent unit.

To meet the law's requirements for information about the financial and financial operations, the form requests a balance sheet as of the beginning and closing dates of the union's fiscal year, and a list of all cash receipts and the sources of such receipts, for the year, as well as a list of assets and liabilities as of the end of the union's fiscal year. Also, all loans, disbursements made during such fiscal year, including all salaries, fees and loans made.

"The annual financial report prepared by most unions may be used to fill the requirements of the law, provided such report contains the above information," the Secretary said.

College to Train Labor Arbitrators

South Orange, N. J.—A broad program of labor-relations studies will be inaugurated at Seton Hall College in September, Monsignor James J. Kelly, president, announced.

"The importance of arbitration in labor disputes can no longer be overlooked," said Dr. Frank Wallace Naggi, dean of the department of business administration. "Although the courts have been the customary arbitration of disputes since the conclusion of the war, no adequate machinery has been given to the training of arbitrators. Seton Hall College plans to inaugurate a program to select, train and develop efficient arbiters who will be available to all industries."

Intensive studies of labor relations and legislation, wages and prices, government and labor, collective bargaining and the relation of the recent Taft-Hartley act to the labor movement will form an important part of the course.

Labor Dept. Reported 'Apprehensive' Over Provisions of Taft-Hartley Law

York, Pa.—John W. Gibson, Assistant Secretary of Labor, said that the Labor Department was "apprehensive" over the Taft-Hartley Labor Act.

He declared there was "a very real danger" that the law contained "elements which might be the forerunner of a totalitarian state."

It was the Labor Department's first official statement on the law since issuing its enactment on June 23, although Secretary of Labor Schwellenbach spoke out against many of its provisions when they were still pending in Congress.

Mr. Gibson's speech was for the summer industrial conference of the United States Chamber of Commerce. He been invited to give an analysis of the law. He was one of the group sponsors of the conference beforehand that he would not attempt this. And then he said:

"The fact is, no comprehensive analysis exists, and the best of emergency analyses which have come from the best legal minds have declined to leave one in a state of bewilderment.

"I can tell you, however, that we in the Department of Labor, as do many employers and leaders of the American labor movement, are apprehensive over some of the results which may come out of the Taft-Hartley Law."

Most of the law will be administered by the National Labor Relations Board. The Labor Department has only a few duties under the act.

Mr. Gibson's objections appeared to be on the ground that the law puts the government deeper into labor-management relationships.

Real Wages Lagging Despite Pay Raises

Washington, D. C.—Earnings of factory workers hit a new high in June, $49.01 a week, but in terms of "real wages" the workers are worse off than a year ago and in a poorer position than during the war, Department of Labor reports showed.

During the past 12 months, weekly earnings in manufacturing rose by more than 13 percent, while the official living cost index shot up 18 percent. The gap is even greater compared to the peak wartime year of 1945, when factory earnings averaged $46.08 a week. "Take-home" pay now is only about 6 percent higher than the 1944 average, while living costs are 25 percent more.

Thus, in terms of buying power, the June average earnings were 19 percent below wartime levels.

Furthermore, the June "take-home" wage of industrial workers will buy only about two-thirds as much as in 1939, the Department said.

OLD-AGE ASSISTANCE DOUBLED IN 10 YEARS

Albany, N. Y.—The cost of old-age assistance in New York State has doubled in the last decade, the Citizens Public Expenditure Survey reported.

The statistical organization placed 1937 expenditures of local, state and Federal funds at $26,200,000 against the 1946 total of $52,700,000.

The increase was attributed to higher living costs and the overall increase in the case load. The survey said the average monthly grant had increased from $22 to $50 and the number of beneficiaries had risen 13,277 to 107,730 a month.

BUS MEN UNIONIZED

Alexandria, Va.—Members of the employes of the Alexandria, Barcroft & Washington Transit Co. recently voted 68 to 48 to become part of Division 1121, Amalgamated Association of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employes of America (AFL). Prior to the vote the union had represented only the firm's bus operators.

AFL President Asserts Labor Opposes Taft Bill by 100,000 to 1

San Francisco.—AFL President William Green predicted that Senator Robert A. Taft, if nominated by the Republicans, will "suffer the worst defeat ever suffered by any candidate of a major party for the Presidency of the United States."

Mr. Green declared that labor will vote against Taft by 100,000 to 1.

The AFL leader, speaking before the convention of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, issued a challenge to the Republican party to nominate Senator Taft as its presidential candidate so that the nation may have a clear-cut issue in the coming political battle of 1948.

"We are ready for an all-out campaign in the 1948 elections to elect our friends and defeat our enemies, and no one is going to stop us," Mr. Green told 5,000 delegates and visitors.

"Of course, Senator Taft claims that the rank and file membership of unions do not support our opposition to the law. He represents that labor would be can gain votes by attacking labor. But he is in for a rude awakening. He is in for a rude awakening. He is in for a rude awakening. He

The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives news accounts and official interpretations of matters affecting labor organization in the industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and contains other information of benefit to the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

SUPPLEMENT

WILLIAM GREEN, President — WASHINGTON, D. C., FRIDAY, AUGUST 15, 1947 — GEORGE MEANY, Secretary-Treasurer

LABOR'S GOAL! To Repeal Taft-Hartley Law

A LABOR DAY MESSAGE

By GEORGE MEANY

Secretary-Treasurer, American Federation of Labor

On this Labor Day of 1947, the 7,500,000 American working men and women who make up the American Federation of Labor salute all other Americans who work for a living are face to face with a most serious situation.

We had felt justified in believing that the right of unions to exist and to function for the benefit of the working people of our nation had at last been conceded even by those who in years gone by were the most bitter enemies of trade unionism. Unfortunately, recent events have shown that these reactionaries still regard their lust for profits as entitled to much higher consideration than the welfare of th many millions of average Americans who work for wages.

All parts of the Taft-Hartley Act, passed by a Congress subservient to Big Business, have now become effective. This is a law which is vicious in the extreme. Under this law it becomes more difficult than ever before for the average trade union to secure necessary and just improvements in wages and working conditions for the membership it represents. In enacting this law over a Presidential veto, Congress has handed the unfair and unscrupulous employer deadly weapons to use against his employee and their organization.

Labor Day, 1947, might appropriately mark the beginning of organized labor's all-out campaign to marshal public opinion for the removal of this oppressive statute at the early date. The sooner this law is removed from the books, the sooner the nation we love will resume its forward march as the standard-bearer of the free way of life.

GEORGE MEANY

And in this connection let it not be forgotten that vicious anti-labor legislation has also been enacted in a number of states. The struggle to wipe out these state laws must be pushed also vigorously with the full united support of all fair-minded Americans, both those inside and those outside organized labor itself.

If the repeal of these anti-labor laws is to be accomplished, our fellow Americans on the farms and in the villages of the nation must be shown how the disastrous fate themselves as well as for trade unionists in the cities will be the ultimate effects of the anti-labor stand taken this year by their representatives in Congress. Even a casual glance at the record of the vote on the Taft-Hartley Act promptly reveals that Big Business was strongly supported on this issue by Congressmen from rural districts.

Today, with American-produced foodstuffs still being exported as well as consumed domestically at high prices, the immediate future of the American farmer is prosperous. We hope that he will always be prosperous. But exports will soon diminish at the end of the present year, and, after World War I, when the farmer will be wholly dependent upon the domestic market. In other words, he will be dependent upon the purchasing power of the millions of workers in the cities here at home. All the tycoons of Big Business combined, despite their great wealth, are not able to consume more than a most insignificant fraction of the farmers' output.

It is clearly to the farmer's personal economic interest, then, to see to it that America's industrial wage-earners obtain good pay for their toil. The sufferers of our farmers disastrous Republican deflation, which resulted chiefly from the lack of purchasing power among working people in the cities, demonstrated the interrelationship between the well-being of the farmer and the well-being of labor. It is regrettable that some farmers have forgotten this interrelationship.

If the Taft-Hartley Act remains on the statute books, employers will have many tools ready to hand with which to prevent, even the wages of labor. Lower wages spell reduced purchasing power and reduced purchasing power for labor inevitably spells economic disaster for the farmer once conditions return to normal.

Congressmen from the rural districts have therefore done their constituents a grave disservice by passing the Taft-Hartley Act. Anti-labor propaganda disseminated among the farmers by Big Business during the past few years has played an important part in this picture. Now it is up to labor to get busy and bring the truth to the farmers, so that they will join with us in the fight to repeal a statute which potentially is as disastrous for farmers as for workers.

If the Taft-Hartley Act remains law, it will by the very nature of its provisions drive American workers more and more into the political field. Up to now, American labor has been reluctant to emulate the workers of other nations by moving on from the economic to the political arena. Throughout its long existence the American Federation of Labor has maintained itself as a strictly non-partisan movement. The passage of the Taft-Ft artley Act, with its oppressive provisions, inevitably raises the question as to whether, in order to protect the future of American wage-earners, this basic position must not now be altered.

During the coming months we must do more than ever before to get American labor's story across to the people of our nation. It is a great story. Had it not been for the American labor movement, its building of our many trade unions, its struggle for higher wages, shorter hours and other benefits, its struggle for higher wages, shorter hours and other benefits, its struggle for higher wages, shorter hours and other benefits